Centrohelid heliozoans (Haptista: Centroplasthelida) from mires in the North Caucasus, Russia

K.I. Prokina^{1,2} and D.A. Philippov¹

¹Papanin Institute for Biology of Inland Waters, Russian Academy of Sciences, Borok, Russian Federation ²Laboratory of Cellular and Molecular Protistology, Zoological Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, Saint Petersburg, Russian Federation

SUMMARY

At present, little is known about the diversity and functioning of microbial communities in mire ecosystems. Among protists, centrohelid heliozoans remain the least studied components of mire microbial communities. The aim of the present work was to determine the species diversity of centrohelid heliozoans at five mountain mires (peatlands) in North Ossetia and Kabardino-Balkaria (North Caucasus, Russia) for the first time. The morphology of cell coverings (siliceous scales) was observed using scanning and transmission electron microscopy for species identification. Thirteen species from four families and six genera were recorded. One new species (*Pterocystis anglocollegarum* sp. n.) was described. *Acanthocystis nigeriensis* was found for the first time since its original description. Four species were new records for Russia: *Acanthocystis nigeriensis*, *Pseudoraphidiophrys veliformis*, *Pterocystis anapoda* and *Pterocystis anglocollegarum* sp. n. The most common species were *Acanthocystis nigeriensis*, *A. trifurca*, *A. turfacea*, and *Pterocystis pinnata*. Above-water microtopographical levels (hummock and carpet) were characterised by significantly higher species richness than mire water bodies (in-mire lakes, hollow-pools, and inter-hummock spaces). Among the microhabitat types studied, moss extracts were characterised by the highest species richness. There was great similarity between the communities of bottom sediments and water surface. Our results also showed that water temperature and pH significantly influenced the species richness of the studied biotopes.

KEY WORDS: Kabardino-Balkaria, North Ossetia, protists, Pterocystis anglocollegarum sp. n., Sphagnum

INTRODUCTION

Little is known about the functioning of microbial cenoces in mire ecosystems at present. However, the microbial component of mire waters accounts for about 50 % of the total metabolism of the mire ecosystem (Gilbert et al. 1998). Centrohelid heliozoans play an important role in the aquatic food web since they feed on small protists and bacteria and thereby are able to control their numbers (Siemensma 1991. Arndt 1993. Stensdotter-Blomberg 1998). Despite this important role, centrohelids remain the least studied components of aquatic ecosystems, including mire waters.

Heliozoans form a polyphyletic group of protists with a spherical cell body, radially diverging axopodia, and an external cell covering (siliceous or organic scales and, less often, organic capsules). Among these organisms, the largest monophyletic taxon is the centrohelid heliozoans (Centroplasthelida Febvre-Chevalier & Febvre, 1984), related to the Haptista (Adl *et al.* 2019). Centrohelids are distinguished by the presence of siliceous or (rarely) organic scales, with the exception of one genus, *Oxnerella*, which lacks them. The morphology of centrohelids began to be actively studied with the spread of electron microscopy (Nicholls 1983, Dürrschmidt 1985, 1987a, 1987b; Croome 1986, 1987; Siemensma & Roijackers 1988; Mikrjukov 1993a, 1993b, 1999, 2001, etc.) and continues to this day. Only about 100 morphospecies of centrohelid heliozoans are known, and it is assumed that only 10 % of centrohelid heliozoan diversity has been studied thus far (Cavalier-Smith & von der Heyden 2007).

The diversity of the centrohelids has been studied unevenly, with more intensive exploration in some regions than in others. The species composition of centrohelids in mires has been poorly investigated. In European Russia, mires were studied only in the Northern region (Arkhangelsk, Vologda and Yaroslavl Regions and the Republic of Karelia, 27 observed species) (Leonov & Plotnikov 2009, Leonov 2010a, 2010b, Leonov & Mylnikov 2012, Prokina *et al.* 2017c) and in the Central region (Voronezh Region, 18 observed species) (Prokina *et al.* 2018). To the best of our knowledge, centrohelids from mountain mires, including the Caucasus, are completely undescribed. Most of the information on diversity of centrohelid heliozoans in mires lacks detailed description of the mire type, the vegetation associated with the biotope, the type of bottom sediments, and the hydrochemical parameters. These factors are extremely important for understanding the distribution patterns of microbial eukaryotes.

The aim of this work was to identify the species composition and morphology of the centrohelid heliozoans from five mires of the North Caucasus, and to analyse their biotopic distribution.

METHODS

Study area

The study area is located in the central part of the northern slope of the Main Caucasus Range. Five mountain mires (peatlands) were studied (Figure 1): Kubus and Chifandzar (Irafsky District of North Ossetia), Ushtulu, Konskoe and Zayachye (Cherekskiy District of Kabardino-Balkaria).

Kubus Mire (Figure 1a) is located on Kubus Mountain. It is a small oligotrophic *Sphagnum* mire with an even or slightly hummocky structure. *Sphagnum* and *Carex-Sphagnum* communities predominate in the vegetation cover. There are several *Carex-Sphagnum* hollows and a small $(\sim 2 \text{ m}^2)$ hollow-pool. The mire is intensively frequented by tourists.

Chifandzar Mire (Figure 1b) is located in the upper reaches of the Kharesidon River in Digorskoe Gorge (2290 m a.s.l.). This large mire is located on a flat raised terrace on the left bank of the river. The mire has hummocky microtopography and can be categorised as belonging among the eutrophic Carex and Carex-Sphagnum mires. The vegetation is dominated by Carex species, while Sphagnum species are few and scarce. The hydrographic network is represented by inter-hummock spaces, small Sphagnum hollows, laggs turning into mire streams, as well as passing streams which partly disappear into peat deposits. Slopes surrounding the mire and part of the mire itself are used for cattle grazing. Chifandzar and Kubus Mires are located within the national park "Alania".

Ushtulu Mire (Figure 1c, 1d) is located within the Kabardino-Balkaria State High-Mountain Reserve, in the upper reaches of the Balkarskiy Cherek River (1995 m a.s.l.). It is a eutrophic *Carex* and partially *Carex-Sphagnum* slope mire with springs of mineral ("Narzan") groundwater. The vegetation is dominated by *Carex rostrata* Stokes. Mire water bodies are presented by grass, grass-Hypnales, grass-*Sphagnum* inter-hummock spaces and a series of

secondary hollow-pools (0.5–2.0 m in depth, 10–100 m^2 in area).

Konskoe Mire (Figure 1e) and Zayachye Mire (Figure 1f) are located near the village of Verkhnyaya Balkaria in the inter-ridge space of the Northern (Jurassic) Depression (1776 and 1810 m a.s.l.). The names of these mires are not official since their area does not exceed 0.0025 km² and local people call them simply "lakes" or "mires". The mires are of limnogenous origin (Busch 1932). In the middle of each of them, there is a residual flowing in-mire lake surrounded by Carex, Carex-Sphagnum and Sphagnum communities. The mires can be characterised as oligotrophic, partially mesooligotrophic Sphagnum mires. On the mires there are traces of burning, which are indicated by Molinia coerulea (L.) Moench. Both mires are recommended for protection (Prokin et al. 2019).

Additional information on mires of the studied area are presented in the following articles: Busch (1932), Knyasev *et al.* (1992), Doroshina & Nikolajev (2018), Doroshina & Yakimov (2019), Prokin *et al.* (2019), including data on protists (testate amoebae and heterotrophic flagellates) (Tarnogradsky 1959, Prokina & Philippov 2017).

Field methods and data collection

Field survey was carried out in June and September 2018 according to a standard technique (Philippov *et al.* 2017). For each sample plot, geobotanical relevés, photo and video recordings were made, and hydrological measurements (groundwater level, water temperature (t, °C), pH and total dissolved solids (TDS, ppm)) were made using portable HI 98201 and Combo HI 98129 devices (Hanna Instruments). Sixteen moss samples were taken from different microhabitats (Table 1). These were wrung out by hand and the resulting extracts ('moss extracts' hereafter) were placed into 15 ml plastic tubes and transported to the laboratory at 4 °C along with other samples from the bottom sediments and water surface.

Laboratory methods

In the laboratory, samples were enriched with a suspension of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* Migula, 1895 bacteria and *Bodo saltans* Ehrenberg, 1838 flagellate cell culture, and placed in Petri dishes. Samples were kept at 22 °C in the dark and observed for ten days to establish the cryptic species diversity (Vørs 1992). An AxioScope A1 light microscope (Carl Zeiss, Germany), with DIC and phase contrast and water immersion objectives (total magnification \times 1120), was used for observations of living cells. Specimens for electron microscopy were prepared







Figure 1. Mires of the North Caucasus: (a) Kubus; (b) Chifandzar; (c) and (d) Ushtulu; (e) Konskoe; (f) Zayachye. Photos: D.A. Philippov.

Table 1. Characteristics of the studied mires.

Name of the mire	Biotope	Coordinates	Water level (cm)	pН	T (°C)	TDS (ppm)	No. samples	Microhabitat	Date	
Kubus	Hollow - pool	42°53'35"N 43°34'42" F	+25 to +40	6.1	18	7	1	Bottom sediments (resuspension)	15 Sep 2018	
		45 54 42 E					2	Water surface		
Chifandzar	<i>Carex rostrata</i> - Hypnales inter-hummock space	42°55'12" N 43°30'55" E	-2 to +2	5.7	13	6	3	Bottom sediments (resuspension)	03 Jun 2018	
			-2 to +2	6.7	12	8	4	<i>Campyllium stellatum</i> (moss extract)	18 Sep 2018	
							5	Water surface		
	Nardus strictum - Carex spp Sphagnum magellanicum hummock	42°55'08" N 43°30'48" E	-15 to -20	4.9	15	20	6	<i>Sphagnum magellanicum</i> (moss extract)	03 Jun 2018	
	Carex spp Nardus strictum - Sphagnum teres hummock	42°55'08" N 43°30'51" E	-15 to -17	6.7	14	45	7	Sphagnum teres (moss extract)	03 Jun 2018	
Ushtulu	<i>Carex rostrata - Sphagnum squarrosum</i> hummock	42°58'29" N 43°19'57" E	-7 to -12	4.5	20	35	8	Sphagnum squarrosum (moss extract)	21 Sep 2018	
	Carex rostrata - Sphagnum teres hummock	42°58'29" N 43°19'57" E	-6 to -7	5.6	20	40	9	Sphagnum teres (moss extract)	09 Jun 2018	
	Carex rostrata inter-hummock space	42°58'26" N 43°20'03" E	+5 to +10	5.6	19	50	10	Water surface	09 Jun 2018	
	<i>Carex rostrata - Utricularia intermedia</i> inter-hummock space	42°58'26" N 43°20'03" E	+2 to +5	6.7	7 16 35 11		11	Bottom sediments (resuspension)	21 Sep 2018	
	Hypnales carpet around a mire pool	42°58'27" N 43°20'03" E	-1 to +2	6.4	17	70	12	<i>Hamatocaulis vernicosus</i> (moss extract)	21 Sep 2018	
	<i>Carex irrigua - Calliergon richardsonii</i> carpet around a mire pool	42°58'26" N 43°20'03" E	-1 to -2	5.5	18	65	13	<i>Calliergon richardsonii</i> (moss extract)	09 Jun 2018	
	<i>Carex irrigua - Hamatocaulis vernicosus</i> carpet around a mire pool	42°58'26" N 43°20'03" E	-1 to -2	5.6	19	60	14 <i>Hamatocaulis vernicosu.</i> (moss extract)		09 Jun 2018	
Konskoe	Carex spp Sphagnum flexuosum + S. obtusum carpet around an in-mire lake	43°06'03" N 43°29'02" E	-2 to +1	6.0	20	25	15	Sphagnum flexuosum (moss extract)	06 Jun 2018	
Zayachye	In-mire lake	43°05'53" N 43°28'42" E	+30 to +80	5.2	18	40	16	Water surface	22 Sep 2018	

according to previously described methods (Moestrup & Thomsen 1980, Mikrjukov 2002) and observed on a JEM-1011 (JEOL, Japan) transmission electron microscope (TEM) and a JSM-6510 LV (JEOL, Japan) scanning electron microscope (SEM). Heliozoans were picked from the samples cell by cell by micropipette for SEM preparations. Concentrated samples were used for TEM preparations. A dendrogram showing the similarity of the water bodies by species composition was drawn on the basis of the Bray-Curtis similarity index using the single linkage algorithm in the PAST software package (Hammer et al. 2001). The current centrohelid taxonomic system (Adl et al. 2019) is used.

RESULTS

Thirteen species of centrohelid heliozoans from four families (Acanthocystidae, Pterocystidae, Raphidocystidae and Choanocystidae) and six genera (Acanthocystis, Choanocystis, Pterocystis, Raineriophrys and Raphidocystis) were observed. Data on the distribution of centrohelid species amongst water bodies and habitat types are presented in Table 2; morphometric characteristics of the observed species and number of observed cells per sample site are provided in the Appendix. The morphological characteristics of investigated siliceous scales having an important diagnostic value are listed below.

DIAPHORETICKES Adl et al., 2012

*Haptista Cavalier-Smith, 2003

Centroplasthelida Febvre-Chevalier & Febvre, 1984 *Panacanthocystida Shishkin & Zlatogursky, 2018 ****Acanthocystidae Claus, 1874

Acanthocystis nigeriensis Wujek *et al.*, 2007 (Figure 2a–2c)

Description: Spine scales consist of hollow cylindrical shaft and a circular flattened base. Shaft is $3.20-8.68 \ \mu\text{m}$ in length, $0.15-0.23 \ \mu\text{m}$ in diameter, base is $0.58-0.86 \ \mu\text{m}$ in diameter. Tip of shaft is $0.16-0.25 \ \mu\text{m}$ in diameter, divided on 2–3 pointed furcae ($0.11-0.22 \ \mu\text{m}$ in length). Triangular plate scales are $2.23-3.33 \times 1.75-2.62 \ \mu\text{m}$, with dense margins and a short axial ridge ($0.27-0.52 \ \mu\text{m}$ in length).

Remarks: This species has been previously noted only once, from freshwater habitats in Nigeria (Wujek *et al.* 2007). The morphology of observed scales corresponds with the original descriptions, except the length of spine scales in type material is shorter $(1.5-3.0 \,\mu\text{m})$.

Table 2. Species diversity of centrohelid heliozoans in the studied mire biotopes. Samples numbers correspond with Table 1.

Centrohelid species		Sample number														
		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
Acanthocystis nigeriensis		+	+	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	+	+
A. penardi		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	+	_	_	_	_	_	_	-
A. trifurca		_	_	_	_	_	+	+	_	_	_	_	+	+	_	-
A. turfacea		+	_	_	+	_	_	_	+	_	_	_	_	_	_	-
Raphidocystis symmetrica		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	+	+	+	_	_	_	-
Choanocystis aculeata		+	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Pseudoraphidiophrys veliformis		_	_	_	_	+	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Pterocystis anapoda		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
<i>P. anglocollegarum</i> sp. n.		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	+	_	_	_	_
P. pinnata	_	_	+	+	_	_	+	_	_	_	+	_	_	_	_	_
P. tropica		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	+	_	+	_	_
Raineriophrys erinaceoides		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	+	_	_	_
R. kilianii		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	+	_	_	_	_
Total number of species		3	2	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	4	2	2	1	1



Figure 2. Morphology of observed scales of centrohelid genus *Acanthocystis*, (a)–(g) and (i) SEM, (h) TEM. (a)–(c) *A. nigeriensis*: (a) scales of a single cell; (b)–(c) spine and plate scales. (d)–(f) *A. penardi*: (d) scales of single cell; (e) spine scales; (f) plate scales. (g)–(i) *A. trifurca*: (g) scales of single cell; (h) spine and plate scales; (i) tips of spine scales. Abbreviations: a.r = axial ridge; bs = base of spine scale; fr = furcae; gr = granules; plate scales; sh = shaft of spine scale; sp.sc = spine scales.

Acanthocystis penardi Wailes, 1925 [syn.: Acanthocystis heterospina Nicholls, 1983; A. dresscheri Siemensma & Roijackers, 1988] (Figure 2d–2f)

Description: Spine scales consist of hollow cylindrical shaft and a circular flattened base. Shaft is $3.79-14.88 \mu m$ in length, $0.27-0.37 \mu m$ in diameter, base is $0.89-1.39 \mu m$ in diameter. Shaft tips are $0.33-0.48 \mu m$ in diameter, possess 5–7 furcae ($0.12-0.16 \mu m$ in length). Oval plate scales are $3.45-4.28 \times 2.32-2.54 \mu m$, with dense margins and concentrically oriented granules.

Distribution: Freshwaters of the European part of Russia (Mikrjukov 1993a, Leonov 2009, 2010a, 2012; Leonov & Plotnikov 2009, Leonov & Mylnikov 2012, Zlatogursky 2014, Prokina *et al.* 2017c, 2018); Ukraine ([as *A. dresseri*] Gaponova 2008); Estonia (Mikrjukov 1993b); Netherlands, Sweden (Siemensma & Roijackers 1988); Mongolia (Kosolapova & Mylnikov 2015); Ethiopia (Prokina *et al.* 2017b); Canada (Nicholls 1983); Chile (Dürrschmidt 1987a, Prokina & Mylnikov 2019); Malaysia (Dürrschmidt 1987a).

Acanthocystis trifurca Nicholls, 1983 [syn.: Acanthocystis myriospina Penard, 1890 sensu Dürrschmidt, 1985] (Figure 2g–2i)

Description: Spine scales consist of hollow cylindrical shaft and a circular flattened base. Shaft is 2.41–10.90 μ m in length, 0.14–0.23 μ m in diameter, base is 0.55–1.0 μ m in diameter. Tip of shaft is 0.20–0.32 μ m in diameter, divided on 3 pointed furcae (0.12–0.33 μ m in length). Oval plate scales are 2.19–3.21×1.49–2.11 μ m, with axial ridge (0.56–0.69 μ m in length) and dense margins. Some plate scales possess rare thin granules.

Distribution: Freshwaters of European part of Russia (Mikrjukov 1999, Leonov & Plotnikov 2009, [as A. myriospina] Leonov 2010a, Plotnikov & Ermolenko 2015, Prokina et al. 2017c, 2018); Switzerland (Zlatogursky 2014); Ethiopia (Prokina et al. 2017b), Australia ([as A. myriospina] Croome 1986); Chile ([as A. myriospina] Dürrschmidt 1985); Canada (Nicholls 1983). Brackish waters of European part of Russia, 2 % ([as A. myriospina] Plotnikov & Gerasimova 2017). Black Sea, 16-18 ‰ ([as A. myriospina] Leonov 2010a, Prokina et al. 2019).

Acanthocystis turfacea Carter, 1863 (Figure 3)

Description: Spine scales of two types, consisting of hollow cylindrical shaft and a circular flattened base. Tip of shaft divided into two furcae, connected by membrane, which is often poorly developed or almost completely reduced. Shaft is 0.29–0.46 µm in diameter. Long spine scales are 11.56–29.50 µm in length, furcae are 0.90–3.0 µm in length, distance between furca tips is 1.48–1.79 µm. Base is 0.80– 2.28 µm in diameter. Short spine scales are 2.87–7.43 µm in length, furcae are 0.70–2.37 µm in length, distance between furca tips is 1.04–3.63 µm. Base is 0.80–1.44 µm in diameter. Oval or almost circular plate scales are $2.22-5.09 \times 1.78-3.17$ µm, with axial ridge and dense margins on some scales.

Remarks: We observed two variations of cells, "gigas" and "viridis", as noticed by Leonov (2012) but never officially described. The first variation ("gigas") (Figure 3e–3h) differs from the second ("viridis") (Figure 3a–3d) by having significantly larger scales (long spine scales are $21.82-29.50 \mu$ m, short spine scales are $3.27-7.43 \mu$ m, plate scales are $2.22-5.09 \mu$ m), the presence of 2–4 small teeth on furca tips of spine scales, and the smooth surface of plate scales without axial ridge and dense margins.

Distribution: Freshwaters of the European part of Russia (Mikrjukov 1993a, Leonov & Plotnikov 2009, Leonov 2010a, Plotnikov & Ermolenko 2015, Prokina *et al.* 2017c, 2018); Sweden (Zlatogursky 2014); Japan (Takamura *et al.* 2000); Canada (Nicholls 1983); Australia (Croome 1986); Chile (Dürrschmidt 1985); Baltic Sea, 5–6 ‰ (Vørs 1992); White Sea, 24 ‰ (Leonov 2010a); marine waters of Antarctica, ~35 ‰ (Croome *et al.* 1987); saline inland waters of European part of Russia, 30–42 ‰ (Plotnikov & Gerasimova 2017); Black Sea, ~18 ‰ (Prokina *et al.* 2019).

****Raphidocystidae Zlatogursky in Zlatogursky et al., 2018

Raphidocystis symmetrica (Penard, 1904) Zlatogursky in Zlatogursky et al., 2018 [bas.: *Raphidiophrys symmetrica* Penard, 1904; syn.: *Polyplacocystis symmetrica* (Penard, 1904) Mikrjukov, 1996] (Figure 4a–4c)

Description: Oval plate scales are $4.64-8.48 \times 2.08-3.09 \mu m$. Length to width ratio is 1.84-4.05. Hollow dense marginal rim is $0.20-0.34 \mu m$ in diameter. Inner surface with reticular structure, divided into two halves by longitudinal rib ($0.08-0.12 \mu m$ in length).

Distribution: Freshwaters of the European part of Russia (Leonov 2010a, Prokina *et al.* 2017c); Sweden, Netherlands (Siemensma & Roijackers 1988); Canada (Nicholls & Dürrschmidt 1985); Greenland (Ikävalko *et al.* 1996).

***Pterocystida Cavalier-Smith & von der Heyden, 2007

****Raphidista Shishkin & Zlatogursky, 2018 ****Choanocystidae Cavalier-Smith & von der Heyden, 2007

Choanocystis aculeata (Hertwig & Lesser, 1874) Siemensma & Roijackers, 1988 [syn.: *Acanthocystis aculeata* Hertwig & Lesser, 1874; *A. serrata* Nicholls, 1983] (Figure 4d–4f)

Description: Spine scales consist of hollow cylindrical shaft and a circular flattened base. Shaft is $4.50-7.70 \ \mu\text{m}$ in length. Proximal part of shaft is $0.45-0.61 \ \mu\text{m}$ in diameter; consists of round finger-shaped outgrowths ($0.16-0.24 \ \mu\text{m}$ in length, 0.05-

 $0.31 \,\mu\text{m}$ in diameter). Distal part of shaft is $0.22-0.31 \,\mu\text{m}$ in diameter, with 4–7 terminal teeth. Heart-shaped base of shaft is $1.69-2.47 \,\mu\text{m}$ in diameter. Dumbbell-shaped plate scales are $4.39-5.68 \,\mu\text{m}$ in length, broadest parts are $2.59-3.11 \,\mu\text{m}$ in width, narrow central part is $0.89-1.70 \,\mu\text{m}$ in length.

Distribution: Freshwaters of the European part of Russia (Mikrjukov 1993a, 1995, 1999, Prokina *et al.* 2018); Estonia (Mikrjukov 1993b); Sweden, Netherlands (Siemensma & Roijackers 1988); India (Wujek & Saha 2006); China (Biyu 2000); Japan (Takamura *et al.* 2000); Australia (Croome 1986); USA (Wujek 2005); Canada (Nicholls 1983); Chile (Dürrschmidt 1985). Brackish inland waters of the European part of Russia, 3–4 ‰ (Mikrjukov 1999).



Figure 3. Morphology of observed scales of centrohelid species *Acanthocystis turfacea*, SEM. (a)–(d) *A. turfacea "viridis"*: (a) scales of single cell; (b) short spine scales and plate scales; (c) long spine scales; (d) short spine scales. (e)–(h) *A. turfacea "gigas"*: (e) scales of single cell; (f) plate scales; (g) tip of short spine scale; (h) tips of long spine scales. Abbreviations: 1.sp.sc = long spine scales; sh.sp.sc = short spine scales; th = teeth.



Figure 4. Morphology of observed scales of centrohelid genera *Raphidocystis*, *Choanocystis*, *Pseudoraphidiophrys*, (a) TEM, (b)–(i) SEM. (a)–(c) *R. symmetrica*: (a) scales of single cell; (b)–(c) plate scales. (d)–(f) *C. aculeata*: (d) scales of single cell; (e) spine scales; (f) spine and plate scales. (g)–(i) *P. veliformis*: (g) scales of single cell; (h) plate scales; (c) spine scales. Abbreviations: l.r = longitudinal rim; m.d = medial depression; m.r = marginal rim; otr = outgrowths; r.r = radial ribs; s.p = subapical protrusion.

****Pterista Shishkin & Zlatogursky, 2018 *****Pterocystidae Cavalier-Smith & von der Heyden, 2007

Pseudoraphidiophrys veliformis (Dürrschmidt, 1985) Mikrjukov, 1997 [bas.: *Acanthocystis veliformis* Dürrschmidt, 1985; syn.: *Pterocystis veliformis* (Dürrschmidt, 1985) Siemensma, 1991] (Figure 4g–4i)

Description: Spine scales sail-shaped, large, almost circular in outline, 2.06–2.70 μ m. Shaft is 1.59–2.07 μ m in length, 0.13–0.16 μ m in diameter. Basal part of shaft departs from rounded subapical protrusion 0.38–0.69 μ m in diameter. From shaft to dense margins departs 56–68 radial ribs. Oval-ovoid plate scales are 1.0–2.23 × 0.50–1.40 μ m, with oval medial depression (0.82–1.33 × 0.29–0.55 μ m), weakly-expressed axial ridge and dense margins.

Distribution: Freshwaters of Chile (Dürrschmidt 1987b, Prokina & Mylnikov 2019); USA (Wujek 2005).

Pterocystis anapoda Siemensma et Roijackers, 1988 (Figure 5a–5d)

Description: Spine scales consist of hollow cylindrical shaft and basal membrane, presented by two lateral wings. Shaft is $9.3-14.07 \ \mu\text{m}$ in length, $0.21-0.27 \ \mu\text{m}$ in diameter, with truncated apex and curved proximal part. Basal membrane is trapezium in outline, $2.81-3.37 \ \mu\text{m}$ in length, width is $2.32-2.66 \ \mu\text{m}$ on broader proximal side and $1.20-1.64 \ \mu\text{m}$ on narrowed distal part. Margins of wings are bent. Oval plate scales are $2.79-3.82 \times 1.80-2.53 \ \mu\text{m}$, with weakly-expressed medial depression, axial ridge (1.23-2.06 \ \mm m in length) and dense margins.

Remarks: In original description, Siemensma & Roijackers (1988) pointed out the presence of radial ribs on plate scales and on the bases of spine scales; however, these morphological features are not visible in the type Figures (30–33, page 206).

Distribution: Freshwaters of Netherlands (Siemensma & Roijackers 1988).

Pterocystis pinnata (Nicholls, 1983) Siemensma et Roijackers, 1988 [bas.: *Acanthocystis pinnata* Nicholls, 1983] (Figure 5e–5g)

Description: Spine scales consist of hollow cylindrical shaft and basal membrane, including lateral and basal wings. Shaft is $3.44-5.67 \mu m$ in length, $0.13-0.19 \mu m$ in diameter, basal part slightly curved, tip of shaft sharpened. Lateral wings stretched along entire length of shaft, distal part is

0.23–0.48 μ m in width, proximal part is 1.40–2.22 μ m in width, with shoulders and small outgrowths ("feathers"). Basal wing connected with lateral wings at 90° angles, forming subapical protrusion. Oval plate scales are 1.70–2.33 × 1.19–1.65 μ m, with small axial ridge 0.57–0.62 μ m in length.

Remarks: This species is similar to *P. tropica* (see below), and differs by absence of radial ribs on spine.

Distribution: Freshwaters of the European part of Russia (Leonov 2009, 2012; Leonov & Plotnikov 2009, Prokina *et al.* 2017c); Sweden (Siemensma & Roijackers 1988); USA (Wujek 2003); Canada (Nicholls 1983); Chile, Malaysia, Sri Lanka, New Zealand (Dürrschmidt 1987b). Brackish inland waters of the European part of Russia, 2 ‰ (Gerasimova & Plotnikov 2015).

Pterocystis tropica (Dürrschmidt, 1987) Siemensma, 1991 [bas.: *Acanthocystis tropica* Dürrschmidt, 1987] (Figure 5h–5j)

Description: Spine scales consist of hollow cylindrical shaft and basal membrane, including lateral and basal wings. Shaft is $3.18-4.32 \ \mu m$ in length, $0.16-0.22 \ \mu m$ in diameter, basal part slightly curved, tip of shaft sharpened. Lateral wings stretched along shaft on $1.52-2.47 \ \mu m$, basal part is almost rectangular in outline, $0.69-1.78 \ \mu m$ in length. Basal wing connected with lateral wings at 90° angles, forming subapical protrusion. Both wings ornamented by radial ribs. Oval plate scales are $2.20-2.42 \times 1.42-1.76 \ \mu m$, with axial ridge ($0.79-0.96 \ \mu m$ in length).

Distribution: Freshwaters of the European part of Russia (Prokina *et al.* 2017c); Germany, Chile, Sri Lanka (Dürrschmidt 1987a).

Pterocystis anglocollegarum sp. nov. (Figure 6)

Material: Type material (preparation for SEM) stored in the Laboratory of Microbiology of IBIW RAS, Borok, Russia. Type: Figure 6. Scales of two cells were observed in an extract of moss *Hamatocaulis vernicosus* (Mitt.) Hedenäs from a carpet located around a mire pool in Ushtulu Mire, Kabardino-Balkaria. The sample was collected on 21 September 2018 by Dmitriy A. Philippov (IBIW RAS).

Diagnosis: Protoplast is unknown. Cells covered by spine and plate scales. Spine scales leaf-shaped, with circular base, surrounded by lateral wings forming a short cylindrical cup. Shaft is completely reduced. Distal part of spine scales sharpened. Spine scales are 2.38–3.16 μ m in length, base is 1.05–1.34 μ m in diameter, cup-shaped structure is 0.29–0.37 μ m in



Figure 5. Morphology of observed scales of centrohelid genus *Pterocystis*, (a)–(e) and (g)–(j) SEM, (f) TEM. (a)–(d) *P. anapoda*: (a) scales of a single cell; (b) spine scales; (c) plate scales; (d) basal membrane of spine scales. (e)–(g) *P. pinnata*: (e) scales of single cell; (f) spine and plate scales; (g) spine scales. (h)–(j) *P. tropica*: (h) scales of a single cell; (i) basal membrane of spine scale; (j) plate scales and basal membrane of spine scale. Abbreviations: b.m = basal membrane. Other abbreviations as in Figure 4.

height. Margins of lateral wings and base consist of hollow marginal rim 0.10–0.12 μ m in diameter. Marginal rim of lateral wings in the distal part of scale can be joined and extended out into a small rod 0.14–0.16 μ m in diameter. Oval plate scales are 0.61–0.75 × 0.41–0.45 μ m, with well-marked hollow marginal rim (0.08–0.09 μ m in diameter).

Differential diagnosis: Observed cells have a unique morphology of scales; shaft of spine scales completely reduced. Cup-shaped structure formed by lateral wings is characteristic of the following species: *Pterocystis plumosa* (Dürrschmidt, 1987) Siemensma, 1991, *P. pteracantha* (Siemensma, 1981) Siemensma & Roijackers, 1988, *P. pulchra* (Dürrschmidt, 1985) Siemensma, 1991 and *Pseudoraphidocystis umbraculiformis* (Dürrschmidt, 1987) Mikrjukov, 1997. However, these species differ by the presence of a long and hollow stalk, cupshaped structure is conical, not cylindrical. Also, these species have a shaft and radial ribs on lateral wings. Leaf-shaped spine scales are present on the following species: *Pterocystis foliacea* (Dürrschmidt, 1985) Siemensma, 1991, *P. contorta* Cavalier-Smith & von der Heyden, 2007, *P. cuspidata* Cavalier-Smith & von der Heyden, 2007, *P. ovata*, *Pseudoraphidiophrys ovata* (Dürrschmidt, 1985) Mikrjukov, 1997, *P. pyriformis* (Dürrschmidt, 1987) Siemensma, 1991, *P. veliformis*. However, scales of these species do not have a cup-shaped structure; instead, some species have a subapical protrusion. The observed plate scales of this new species are smaller than plate scales of most known species of centrohelids.

Remarks: Two spine scales and one plate scale of this species without a description of morphology were observed by G.F. Esteban and coauthors (Esteban *et*



Figure 6. Morphology of observed scales of new centrohelid species *Pterocystis anglocollegarum* sp. n., SEM: (a) scales of a single cell; (b) spine scales, side view; (c) plate scales; (d) spine scales, view from above; (e) spine scale, bottom view. Abbreviations: c.b = circular base of spine scale; c.s.s = cup-shaped structure. Other abbreviations as in Figures 1 and 4.

al. 2012) from freshwaters of England. Those researchers did not describe a new species, possibly due to insufficient material. The indicated size of spine scales (2.7 μ m in length) and calculated size of plate scales (about 0.64 μ m) corresponds to the sizes of scales we found.

Zoobank Registration: urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:BA78B5FB-09D1-4CAF-BEBF-97DBC6F3E62E.

Etymology: The species is named after the English colleagues G.F. Esteban, B.J. Finlay and K.J. Clarke who first discovered scales of this species.

Raineriophrys erinaceoides (Petersen et Hansen, 1960) Mikrjukov, 2001 [bas.: *Acanthocystis erinaceoides* Petersen et Hansen, 1960; syn.: *Echinocystis erinaceoides* (Petersen et Hansen, 1960) Mikrjukov, 1997; *Pterocystis erinaceoides* (Petersen et Hansen, 1960) Siemensma, 1991; *Raineria erinaceoides* (Petersen et Hansen, 1960) Mikrjukov, 1999] (Figure 7a–7d)

Description: Spine scales consist of hollow cylindrical shaft and basal membrane, including lateral and basal wings. Shaft is $3.69-10.54 \ \mu\text{m}$ in length, $0.15-0.38 \ \mu\text{m}$ in diameter, distal part conically tapers to a pointed tip. Lateral wings are $1.90-4.71 \ \mu\text{m}$ in length. Basal wing connected with lateral wings at 90° angles, forming subapical protrusion ($1.38-1.56 \ \mu\text{m}$ in diameter). Ovoid plate scales are $4.43-4.73 \times 2.09-2.26 \ \mu\text{m}$, with axial ridge ($3.36-3.77 \ \mu\text{m}$ in length) and dense margins.

Remarks: This species is very similar to *R. kilianii* (see below), differing by the absence of radial ribs on lateral wings, presence of pointed shaft on spine scales and well-marked axial ridge on ovoid plate scales.



Figure 7. Morphology of observed scales of centrohelid genus *Raineriophrys*, SEM. (a)–(d) *R. erinaceoides*: (a) scales of single cell; (b) spine scales; (c) spine scale, dorsal side; (d) plate scales. (e)–(g) *R. kilianii*: (e) scales of single cell; (f) spine and plate scales; (g) spine scale. Abbreviations: 1.w = 1 ateral wings.

Distribution: Freshwaters of the European part of Russia (Mikrjukov 1993a, Leonov 2010a, 2012 [as *R. kilianii*], Leonov & Mylnikov 2012, Zlatogursky 2014, Prokina *et al.* 2018); Ukraine (Gaponova 2008); Estonia (Mikrjukov 1993b); Mongolia (Kosolapova & Mylnikov 2015); Canada (Nicholls 1983); Chile (Dürrschmidt 1985, 1987a, Prokina & Mylnikov 2019); Sri Lanka (Dürrschmidt 1987a); Australia (Croome 1986); brackish inland waters of the European part of Russia, 2 ‰ (Plotnikov & Gerasimova 2017), ~ 20 ‰ (Mikrjukov 2001).

Raineriophrys kilianii (Dürrschmidt, 1987) Mikrjukov, 2001 [bas.: *Acanthocystis* kilianii Dürrschmidt, 1987; syn.: Pterocystis kilianii (Dürrschmidt, 1987) Siemensma et Roijackers, 1991; *Echinocystis* kilianii (Dürrschmidt, 1987) Mikrjukov, 1997] (Figure 7e–7g)

Description: Spine scales consist of hollow cylindrical shaft and basal membrane, including lateral and basal wings. Shaft is 6.29–8.88 μ m in length, 0.18–0.21 μ m in diameter, basal part slightly curved, tip of shaft truncated. Lateral wings narrowed, stretched along 2/3 of length of shaft, at the base sharply expanded and connected with the basal wing, forming a subapical protrusion 1.24–1.56 μ m in width. Lateral wings with jagged margins and poorly distinguishable radial ribs. Oval plate scales are 3.10–3.37×2.11–2.25 μ m, without any texture.

Remarks: In the original description M. Dürrschmidt (1987b) noticed the presence of a poorly visible axial ridge on plate scales; however, on type Figures (15–19, page 54) the axial ridge is not visible at all, plate scales are without any texture and very similar to the scales we studied.

Distribution: Freshwaters of the European part of Russia (Prokina *et al.* 2017c); Netherlands (Siemensma & Roijackers 1988); Germany, Chile (Dürrschmidt 1987b); Australia (Croome 1987).

DISCUSSION

A total of 13 species of centrohelid heliozoans from six genera and four families were found (Table 2). All of them are new records for the freshwater ecosystems of the Caucasus (due to lack of any relevant research in this region to date). Four species are new records for Russia: *Acanthocystis nigeriensis*, *Pseudoraphidiophrys veliformis*, *Pterocystis anapoda* and *Pterocystis anglocollegarum* sp. n. Most of the species observed belong to the families Pterocystidae (*Pterocystis -* four species, *Raineriophrys -* two species, *Pseudoraphidocystis -* one species) and Acanthocystidae (*Acanthocystis* - four species). Families Raphidocystidae (*Raphidocystis* - one species) and Choanocystidae (*Choanocystis* - one species) were characterised by the lowest species richness. The most common species (observed in four of the sixteen samples) were *Acanthocystis nigeriensis*, *A. trifurca*, *A. turfacea* and *Pterocystis pinnata*. *Raphidocystis symmetrica* was observed in three samples. *Acanthocystis penardi*, *Choanocystis aculeata*, *Pseudoraphidiophrys veliformis*, *Pterocystis anapoda*, *P. anglocollegarum*, *P. tropica*, *Raineriophrys erinaceoides* and *R. kilianii* were found in only one sample.

The morphology of observed scales mainly corresponded to previous descriptions, but some deviations in shape and size were present. The majority of identified species are common on multiple continents in both the Northern and Southern Hemispheres (Acanthocystis penardi, A. trifurca, A. turfacea, Choanocystis aculeata, Pseudoraphdiophrys veliformis, Pterocystis pinnata, P. tropica, Raineriophrys erinaceoides, R. kilianii) (Nicholls 1983, Dürrschmidt 1985, 1987b; Croome 1986, Croome et al. 1987, Siemensma & Roijackers 1988, Mikrjukov 1993a, 1993b). Two species (Pterocystis anapoda and P. anglocollegarum) have previously been found only in Europe (Siemensma & Roijackers 1988, Esteban et al. 2012) and one species (Acanthocystis nigeriensis) only in Africa (Wujek et al. 2007). Most (eight) species were previously observed only in freshwater habitats: Acanthocystis penardi, A. nigeriensis, Raphidocystis symmetrica, *Pseudoraphidiophrys* veliformis, **Pterocystis** anapoda, P. anglocollegarum, P. tropica and Raineriophrys kilianii. Two species have been found also in brackish waters (2-4 %): Choanocystis aculeata and Pterocystis pinnata (Mikrjukov 1999, Gerasimova & Plotnikov 2015). Three species have been found also in marine and saline inland waters: *Acanthocvstis* trifurca, A. turfacea and Raineriophrys erinaceoides (Croome et al. 1987, Vørs 1992, Mikrjukov 2001, Leonov 2010a, Plotnikov & Gerasimova 2017, Prokina et al. 2019).

The distribution of centrohelid species among sampling plots was even, and the average number of species per sample was 1.7. The maximum species richness was observed in water samples from a hollow-pool in Kubus mire (three species) and in an extract of the moss *Hamatocaulis vernicosus* from a carpet in Ushtulu Mire (four species). In other sampling plots, the species richness was 1–2 species.

Most species were observed in Kabardino-Balkaria (average number of species per sample was 1.8); seven of eleven species were found only here. In North Ossetia, only six species were found (average number of species per sample was 1.6) and two of them were found only here. *Acanthocystis nigeriensis*, *A. trifurca*, *A. turfacea* and *Pterocystis pinnata* were common to both studied regions.

In the eutrophic mires (Chifandzar and Ushtulu), twelve centrohelid species were recorded in total (average number of species per sample was 1.8). Among the eutrophic mires, the highest species richness was recorded in Ushtulu Mire, namely: Acanthocystis penardi, A. trifurca, A. turfacea, Raphidocystis symmetrica, Pterocystis anapoda, P. pinnata, P. tropica, P. anglocollegarum, Raineriophrys erinaceoides and R. kiliani. Of these, seven (bold type here and below) were found only in this mire. In Chifandzar Mire, five species were recorded. namely: *Acanthocystis* nigeriensis, **Pseudoraphidocystis** A. trifurca, A. turfacea, veliformis and Pterocystis pinnata. Only three species were observed in the oligotrophic mires (average number of species per sample was 1.5). Among the oligotrophic mires, the greatest species richness was recorded in Kubus Mire: Acanthocystis nigeriensis, A. turfacea and Choanocystis aculeata. Acanthocystis nigeriensis was found only in the oligotrophic Konskoe and Zayachye Mires.

Acanthocystis turfacea and A. nigeriensis were common to more than half of the studied mires, and we can assume that they are typical for the area. A. turfacea is widespread all over the world, while A. nigeriensis has been found only once previously, in freshwaters of Nigeria, and is probably a rare species. On the other hand, scales of this species are similar to scales of the more common A. trifurca, so we cannot draw firm conclusions about the rarity of A. nigeriensis.

Above-water (hummock and carpet) levels in the microtopography were characterised by significantly higher species diversity, as compared with mire water bodies (in-mire lakes, inter-hummock spaces and hollow-pools). This may be due to their greater variety of microhabitats with precise conditions required by centrohelids. A total of 12 species were observed at levels above the water table (average number of species per sample was 1.9). Eight species were found in carpets, namely: Acanthocystis nigeriensis, A. trifurca, Raphidocystis symmetrica, Pterocystis anapoda, P. tropica, P. anglocollegarum, Raineriophrys erinaceoides, R. kilianii; five of which were observed only at this microtopographical level. Five species were recorded in hummocks, namely: Acanthocystis penardi, A. trifurca, A. turfacea, Pseudoraphidiophrys veliformis, Pterocystis pinnata. Five species were recorded in mire water bodies (average number of species per sample was 1.5). Three species, Acanthocystis nigeriensis, A. turfacea,

and Choanocystis aculeata, were observed in hollow-pools, the last one being found only at this microtopographical level. Four species were found in inter-hummock spaces, namely: Acanthocystis nigeriensis, A. turfacea, Raphidocystis symmetrica and *Pterocystis pinnata*. Only Acanthocystis nigeriensis was found in the in-mire lake. A dendrogram similarities of the between microtopographical levels in terms of species composition (Figure 8) shows that mire water bodies had the greatest similarity, while microtopographical levels above the water table diverged both from each other and from mire water bodies.

Among the observed microhabitat types, moss extracts are characterised by higher species richness. Hypnales were the most populated by centrohelids. In total, eight centrohelid species were found in Hypnales (average number of species per sample was 2.5): Acanthocystis trifurca, Raphidocystis symmetrica, **Pterocvstis** anapoda, P. pinnata, P. tropica, P. anglocollegarum, Raineriophrys erinaceoides, R. kilianii, five of which were found only here. In Sphagnum mosses, six species were observed (average number of species per sample was 1.4): Acanthocystis nigeriensis, A. penardi, A. trifurca, Pseudoraphidiophrys veliformis, A. turfacea, Pterocystis pinnata. Only Sphagnum teres (Schimp.) Ångstr. had high species diversity of associated heliozoans (four species). Low similarity of centrohelid species composition was recorded in the observed Sphagnum mosses. Only Acanthocystis trifurca was associated with two Sphagnum species at the same time.



Figure 8. Dendrogram showing the Bray-Curtis similarity (%) of biotope types by species diversity of centrohelids. Abbreviations: IML = in-mire lake; Car = carpets; Hum = hummocks; HP = hollow-pools; IHS = inter-hummock space.

The smallest numbers of centrohelid species were found in samples of bottom sediments and surface water (four species in each microhabitat, average numbers of species per sample were 1.7 and 1.5, respectively). Acanthocystis nigeriensis, A. turfacea, Raphidocystis symmetrica and Pterocystis pinnata were associated with bottom sediments; Acanthocystis nigeriensis, A. turfacea, Raphidocystis symmetrica and Choanocystis aculeata were associated with water samples, the latter being found only here.

More than half (eight) of the centrohelid species were observed only only one type of microhabitat, and we assume that this indicates their high micro-environmental adaptation to specific conditions. However, more research is needed. Only Acanthocystis trifurca was found in two types of microhabitat, and four species (Acanthocystis nigeriensis, A. turfacea, Raphidocystis symmetrica and *Pterocystis pinnata*) were recorded in three types of microhabitat. Thus, all of these species may be regarded as being poorly adapted to a range of conditions. The bottom sediments and water surface communities showed greater similarity (75%) to each other than to moss communities (Figure 9). Sphagnum cenoses showed the greatest uniqueness. It has been shown previously that hydrochemical and hydrophysical factors play an important role in determining the distribution of protists (Mazei et al. 2005, Lamentowicz et al. 2007, Mieczan 2009, Prokina et al. 2017a). Low pH values of water probably reflect extreme habitat conditions for centrohelids. Figure 10 shows the dependence of community species richness (directly proportional to the diameter of the circle) on water properties, pH on the X-axis and temperature on the Y-axis. Biotopes with high temperature and low pH (Samples 8 and 16), as well as biotopes with low temperature and

with high temperature and low pH (Samples 8 and 16), as well as biotopes with low temperature and high pH (Sample 1) are associated with the lowest species diversity, whereas high water temperature and pH associate with higher species richness in biotopes.

CONCLUSIONS

The species diversity of centrohelid heliozoans in the studied mountain mires is relatively high; 13 species were recorded. *Acanthocystis nigeriensis* was found for the first time since its original description. The new species *Pterocystis anglocollegarum* sp. n. has a unique morphology of cell coverings. The data obtained on the morphology of scales further our knowledge of the intraspecific variability of centrohelid heliozoans. New records for Russia were the following four species: *Acanthocystis nigeriensis*,



Figure 9. Dendrogram showing the Bray-Curtis similarity (%) of microhabitat types by species diversity of centrohelids. Abbreviations: Sph = extracts of *Sphagnum* mosses; Hyp = extracts of Hypnales mosses; BS = bottom sediment; WS = water surface.



Figure 10. Dependence of species richness of centrohelids per biotope on the pH (X-axis) and temperature (Y-axis) of water. The diameter of the circle is directly proportional to the species richness of the biotope. The numbers of biotopes are given in accordance with Table 1.

Pseudoraphidiophrys veliformis, Pterocystis anapoda and *P. anglocollegarum* sp. n. The most common species were *Acanthocystis nigeriensis, A. trifurca, A. turfacea* and *Pterocystis pinnata.* Above-water microtopographical levels (hummock and carpet) were characterised by significantly higher species richness in comparison with mire water bodies (inmire lakes, hollow-pools and inter-hummock spaces). Among the types of microhabitats observed, moss extracts were characterised by higher species richness. The bottom sediments and water surface communities showed greater similarity amongst themselves than with communities associated with mosses. It was shown that water temperature and pH significantly influence the species richness of the studied biotopes. The distribution of the observed morphospecies confirms the cosmopolitanism of many centrohelids and highlights the importance of the water body and microhabitat type in determining the species composition.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research: Project 18-04-00988 (field survey), Project 17-04-00899 (electron-microscopic investigation) and the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation (Projects No. AAAA-A18-118012690099-2 and No. AAAA-A18-118012690098-5). We are grateful to Andrey A. Przhiboro PhD, Alexey S. Sazhnev PhD, Alexander A. Prokin PhD, Elena S. Chertoprud PhD, Alambek G. Sabeev and Vitaliy V. Dobronosov for their help in the field; Margarita A. Boychuk PhD for her help with identification of mosses; Dmitry A. Kapustin PhD for discussions and help with finding obscure literature; and Dr Denis V. Tikhonenkov for discussions of the present manuscript and valuable suggestions.

REFERENCES

- Adl, S.M., Bass, D., Lane, C.E., Lukeš, J., Schoch, C.L., Smirnov, A., Agatha, S., Berney, C., Brown, M.W., Burki, F., Cárdenas, P., Čepička, I., Chistyakova, L., Del Campo, J., Dunthorn, M., Edvardsen, B., Eglit, Y., Guillou, L., Hampl, V., Heiss, A.A., Hoppenrath, M., James, T.Y., Karnkowska, A., Karpov, S., Kim, E., Kolisko, M., Kudryavtsev, A., Lahr, D.J.G., Lara, E., Le Gall, L., Lynn, D.H., Mann, D.G., Massana, R., Mitchell, E.A.D., Morrow, C., Park, J.S., Pawlowski, J.W., Powell, M.J., Richter, D.J., Rueckert, S., Shadwick, L., Shimano, S., Spiegel, F.W., Torruella, G., Youssef, N., Zlatogursky, V. & Zhang, Q. (2019) Revisions to the classification, nomenclature, and diversity of eukaryotes. Journal of Eukaryotic Microbiology, 66(1), 4–119.
- Arndt, H. (1993) A critical review of the importance of rhizopods (naked and testate amoebae) and

actinopods (heliozoa) in lake plankton. *Marine Microbial Food Webs*, 7(1), 3–29.

- Biyu, S. (2000) Planktonic protozooplankton (ciliates, heliozoans and testaceans) in two shallow mesotrophic lakes in China - a comparative study between a macrophytedominated lake (Biandantang) and an algal lake (Houhu). *Hydrobiologia*, 434(1–3), 151–163.
- Busch, N.A. (1932) O bolotakh ozyornogo proiskhozhdeniya v Balkarii i Digorii (Tsentral'nyj Kavkaz) (On the limnogeneus origin of the Balkaria and Digoria mires). *Trudy Botanicheskogo muzeya Akademii nauk SSSR* (*Travaux du Musée Botanique de L'Académie des Sciences de L'URSS*), 25, 7–16 (in Russian).
- Cavalier-Smith, T. & von der Heyden, S. (2007) Molecular phylogeny, scale evolution and taxonomy of centrohelid heliozoa. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 44(3), 1186–1203.
- Croome, R.L. (1986) Observations of the Heliozoan genera *Acanthocystis* and *Raphidocystis* from Australia. *Archiv für Protistenkunde*, 131(3–4), 189–199.
- Croome, R.L. (1987) Observations of the genera Acanthocystis, Raphidiophrys, Clathrulina and Pompholyxophrys (Protozoa, Sarcodina) from Australian freshwaters. Archiv für Protistenkunde, 133(3–4), 237–243.
- Croome, R.L., van den Hoff, J. & Burton, H.R. (1987) Observations of the heliozoean genera *Pinaciophora* and *Acanthocystis* (Heiliozoea, Sarcodina, Protozoa) from Ellis Fjord, Antarctica. *Polar Biology*, 8(1), 23–28.
- Doroshina, G.Ya. & Nikolajev, I.A. (2018) Sphagnovye mkhi (Sphagnaceae, Bryophyta) bolot Chefandzar i Masota v Severnoj Osetii (Kavkaz) (*Sphagnum* mosses (Sphagnaceae, Bryophyta) of Chefandzar and Masota Mires in North Ossetia (Caucasus)). *Novosti sistematiki nizshikh rastenii*, 52(2), 455–462 (in Russian).
- Doroshina, G.Ya. & Yakimov, A.V. (2019) Sphagnovye mkhi (Sphagnaceae, Bryophyta) ozyorno-bolotnogo kompleksa Verkhnej Balkarii (Tsentral'nyj Kavkaz) (*Sphagnum* mosses (Sphagnaceae, Bryophyta) of the Verkhnyaya Balkariya lake-mire complex (Central Caucasus)). Novosti sistematiki nizshikh rastenii, 53(1), 167–176 (in Russian).
- Dürrschmidt, M. (1985) Electron microscopic observations on scales of species of the genus *Acanthocystis* (Centrohelidia, Heliozoa) from Chile, I. *Archiv für Protistenkunde*, 129(1–4), 55– 87.
- Dürrschmidt, M. (1987a) An electron microscopical study of freshwater heliozoa (genus

Acanthocystis, Centrohelidia) from Chile, New Zealand, Malaysia and Sri Lanka, II. Archiv für Protistenkunde, 133(1–2), 21–48.

- Dürrschmidt, M. (1987b) An electron microscopical study on freshwater heliozoa (genus *Acanthocystis*, Centrohelidia) from Chile, New Zealand, Malaysia and Sri Lanka, III. *Archiv für Protistenkunde*, 133(1–2), 49–80.
- Esteban, G.F., Finlay, B.J. & Clarke, K.J. (2012) Priest Pot in the English Lake District: a showcase of microbial diversity. *Freshwater Biology*, 57(2), 321–330.
- Gaponova, L.P. (2008) The centrohelid heliozoans (Protista, Centrohelida) of algal mats from the water body in Ukrainian Polissya. *Vestnik Zoologii*, 42(2), e-38–e41.
- Gerasimova, E.A. & Plotnikov, A.O. (2015) Raznoobrazie ameboidnykh protistov v solyonykh vodoyomakh Orenburgskoj oblasti (Biodiversity of ameboidan protists in saline water bodies of Orenburg Region). Vestnik Orenburgskogo gosudarsvennogo universtiteta (Bulletin of Orenburg State University), 10, 130– 133 (in Russian).
- Gilbert, D., Amblard, C., Bourdier, G. & Francez, A.-J. (1998) The microbial loop at the surface of a peatland: structure, function, and impact of nutrient input. *Microbial Ecology*, 38, 83–93.
- Hammer, Ø., Harper, D.A.T. & Ryan, P.D. (2001)
 PAST: Paleontological statistics software package for education and data analysis. *Palaeontologia Electronica*, 4(1), 1–9.
- Ikävalko, J., Thomsen, H.A. & Carstens, M. (1996) A preliminary study of NE Greenland shallow meltwater ponds with particular emphasis on loricate scale-covered and forms (Choanoflagellida, Chrysophyceae sensu lato, Synurophyceae, Heliozoea), including the descriptions of Epipyxis thannoides sp. nov. and Pseudokephyrion poculiforme sp. nov. (Chrysophyceae). Archiv für Protistenkunde, 147(1), 29–42.
- Knyasev, A.V., Savinetsky, A.B. & Gey, N.A. (1992)
 Istoriya rastitel'nogo pokrova Severnoj Osetii v golotcene (Holocene history of vegetation in North Ossetia). In: Dinesman, L.G. (ed.)
 Istoricheskaya ekologiya dikikh i domashnikh kopytnykh: Istoriya pastbischnykh ekosistem (Historical Ecology of Wild and Domestic Ungulates: History of Pasture Ecosystems), Nauka, Moscow, 84–108 (in Russian).
- Kosolapova, N.G. & Mylnikov, A.P. (2015) First records of centrohelid heliozoans (Centrohelida) in Mongolia. *Inland Water Biology*, 8(3), 232– 235.

- Lamentowicz, Ł., Gąbka, M. & Lamentowicz, M. (2007) Species composition of testate amoebae (Protists) and environmental parameters in a *Sphagnum* peatland. *Polish Journal of Ecology*, 55(4), 749–759.
- Leonov, M.M. (2009) Heliozoan fauna of waterbodies and watercourses of the Central Russian Upland forest-steppe. *Inland Water Biology*, 2(1), 6–12.
- Leonov, M.M. (2010a) Heliozoans (Heliozoa, Sarcodina, Protista) of fresh and marine waters of the European part of Russia: species composition, morphology, and distribution. *Inland Water Biology*, 3(4), 344–355.
- Leonov, M.M. (2010b) Novye vidy tsentrokhelidnykh colnechnikov roda Acanthocystis (Centroheliozoa) (New species of centrohelid heliozoa of the genus Acanthocystis (Centroheliozoa)). Zoologicheskii Zhurnal, 89, 507–513.
- Leonov, M.M. (2012) Vidovoe raznoobrazie i morfologiya solnechnikov (Heliozoa) vodoyomov i vodotokov Evropejskoj chasti Rossii (Species Diversity and Morphology of Heliozoa of Waterbodies and Watercourses in the European Part of Russia). PhD dissertation in Biological Sciences, Papanin Institute for Biology of Inland Waters RAS, Borok, 1–191 (in Russian).
- Leonov, M.M. & Mylnikov, A.P. (2012) Tsentrokhelidnye solnechniki iz yuzhnoj Karelii (Centroheliozoa from Southern Karelia). *Zoologicheskii Zhurnal*, 91(5), 515–523 (in Russian).
- Leonov, M.M. & Plotnikov, A.O. (2009) Tsentrokhelidnye solnechniki (Centroheliozoa) Tsentral'nogo Chernozem'ya i Yuzhnogo Urala: vidovoj sostav, morfologiya, rasprostranenie (Species composition, morphology, and distribution of centrohelid heliozoa from Central Chernozem Region and the South Urals). *Zoologicheskii Zhurnal*, 88(6), 643–653 (in Russian).
- Mazei, Yu.A., Tikhonenkov, D.V. & Mylnikov, A.P. (2005)Raspredelenie geterotrofnykh zhgutikonostsev v malykh presnykh vodovomakh Yaroslavskoj oblasti (Distribution of heterotrophic nannoflagellates in small freshwater bodies of Yaroslavl Region). **Biologiya** vnutrennikh vod (Biology of Inland Waters), 4, 33-39 (in Russian).
- Mieczan, T. (2009) Ciliates in *Sphagnum* peatlands: vertical micro-distribution, and relationships of species assemblages with environmental parameters. *Zoological Studies*, 48(1), 33–48.
- Mikrjukov, K.A. (1993a) Observations on

Centroheliozoa (Protozoa: Sarcondina) of the Volga basin. *Zoosystematica Rossica*, 2(2), 201–209.

- Mikrjukov, K.A. (1993b) On the Centrohelid and Rotosphaerid Heliozoa from the environs of the Võrtsjärv limnological station in Estonia. *Proceedings of the Estonian Academy of Sciences: Geology*, 42(2), 154–160.
- Mikrjukov, K.A. (1995) Peresmotr vidovogo sostava roda *Choanocystis* (Centroheliozoa: Sarcodina) i mnogoobrazie ego predstavitelej v Vostochnoj Evrope (Revision of the species composition of the genus *Choanocystis* (Sarcodina, Centroheliozoa) and its fauna in Eastern Europe). *Zoologicheskii Zhurnal*, 74(4), 3–16 (in Russian).
- Mikrjukov, K.A. (1999) Interesting findings of heliozoan (Protista) in Black Sea and Crimea: data on the similarity of sea and freshwater fauna of these organisms. *Russian Journal of Zoology*, 3(2), 240–249.
- Mikrjukov, K.A. (2001) Heliozoa as a component of marine microbenthos: a study of heliozoan of the White Sea. *Ophelia*, 54(1), 51–73.
- Mikrjukov, K.A. (2002) Tsentrokhelidnye solnechniki (Centroheliozoa) (Centrohelid Heliozoans (Centroheliozoa)), KMK Scientific Press, Moscow, 1–136 (in Russian).
- Moestrup, Ø. & Thomsen, H.A. (1980) Preparations of Shadow Cast Whole Mounts. Handbook of Phycological Methods. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 385–390.
- Nicholls, K.H. (1983) Little-known and new heliozoeans: the centrohelid genus *Acanthocystis*, including descriptions of nine new species. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 61(6), 1369–1386.
- Nicholls, K.H. & Dürrschmidt, M. (1985) Scale structure and taxonomy of some species of *Raphidocystis*, *Raphidiophrys*, and *Pompholyxophrys* (Heliozoea) including descriptions of six new taxa. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 63(8), 1944–1961.
- Philippov, D.A., Prokin, A.A. & Przhiboro, A.A. (2017) Metody i metodiki gidrobiologicheskogo issledovaniya bolot: uchebnoe posobie (Methods and Methodology of Hydrobiological Study of Mires: Tutorial). Izdatel'stvo Tyumenskogo gosudarstvennogo universiteta, Tyumen', 207 pp. (in Russian).
- Plotnikov, A.O. & Ermolenko, E.A. (2015) Centrohelid heliozoa (Chromista, Hacrobia) of Southern Cis-Ural region. *Biology Bulletin*, 42(8), 683–695.
- Plotnikov, A.O. & Gerasimova, E.A. (2017) Heliozoa (Centrohelea, Haptista, Hacrobia) of saline and brackish water bodies and watercourses

of Russia. Inland Water Biology, 10(2), 121-129.

- Prokin, A.A., Sazhnev, A.S. & Philippov, D.A. (2019) Water beetles (Insecta: Coleoptera) of some peatlands of the North Caucasus. *Nature Conservation Research*, 4(2), 57–66.
- Prokina, K.I. & Mylnikov, A.P. (2019) Centrohelid heliozoans from freshwater habitats of different types of South Patagonia and Tierra del Fuego, Chile. *Inland Water Biology*, 12(1), 10–20.
- Prokina, K.I. & Philippov, D.A. (2017) Materialy o svobodnozhivuschikh geterotrofnykh zhgutikonostsakh bolot Severnoj i Yuzhnoj Osetii (Materials on the free-living heterotrophic flagellates of North and South Ossetia mires). *Trudy IBVV RAN (Transactions of Institute for Biology of Inland Waters, Russian Academy of Sciences*), 79, 177–182 (in Russian).
- Prokina, K.I., Mylnikov, A.P., Galanina, O.V. & Philippov, D.A. (2017a) First report on heterotrophic flagellates in the mires of Arkhangelsk region, Russia. *Biology Bulletin*, 44(9), 1067–1078.
- Prokina, K.I., Mylnikov, A.P. & Zelalem, W. (2017b) First data on heterotrophic flagellates and helozoans of Ethiopia. *Biology Bulletin*, 44(8), 896–912.
- Prokina, K.I., Zagumyonnyi, D.G. & Philippov, D.A. (2017c) Centrohelids in the mires of Northern Russia. *Protistology*, 11(1), 3–19.
- Prokina K.I., Zagumyonnyi D.G. & Tikhonenkov D.V. (2018) Centrohelid heliozoans (Centroplasthelida Febvre-Chevalier et Febvre, 1984) from different types of freshwater bodies in the Middle Russian Forest-steppe. Acta Protozoologica, 54(4), 245–268.
- Prokina, K.I., Zagumyonnyi, D.G. & Mylnikov, A.P. (2019) Marine centrohelid heliozoans (Centroplasthelida Febvre-Chevalier and Febvre, 1984) from bays of Sevastopol (the Black Sea shore). *Russian Journal of Marine Biology* (in press).
- Siemensma, F.J. (1991) Klasse Heliozoa Haeckel, 1866. In: Page, F.C. & Siemensma, F.J. (eds.) *Nackte Rhizopoda und Heliozoea*. Protozoenfauna Bd. 2, Gustav Fischer Verlag, Stuttgart, 171–297.
- Siemensma, F.J. & Roijackers, R.M.M. (1988) A study of new and little-known acanthocystid heliozoeans, and a proposed division of the genus *Acanthocystis* (Actinopoda, Heliozoea). *Archiv für Protistenkunde*, 135(1–4), 197–212.
- Stensdotter-Blomberg, U. (1998) Factors controlling pelagic populations of ciliates and heliozoans late summer investigations in an acidic lake before and after liming. *Journal of Plankton*

Research, 20(3), 423-442.

- Takamura, N., Shen, Yu. & Xie, P. (2000) Species richness of protozoa in Japanese lakes. *Limnology*, 1(2), 91–106.
- Tarnogradsky, D.A. (1959) Mikroflora i mikrofauna torfyannikov Kavkaza. 8. Osokovo-sfagnovye ozyora v verkhov'yakh reki Balkarskij Cherek (Microflora and microfauna of the peat bogs of the Caucasus. 8. Sedge-Sphagnum lakes in the upper course of Balkarskiy Cherek River). Trudy Severo-Osetinskogo sel'skokhozyayastvennogo instituta (Transactions of North Ossetia Agricultural Institute), 6(3), 3–91 (in Russian).
- Vørs, N. (1992) Heterotrophic amoebae, flagellates and heliozoa from the Tvärminne area, Gulf of Finland, in 1988–1990. *Ophelia*, 36(1), 1–109.
- Wujek, D.E. (2003) Freshwater scaled heterotrophic protistans from four Gulf States, including descriptions of two species. *Journal of the Alabama Academy of Science*, 74(3–4), 164–181.
- Wujek, D.E. (2005) Identification, ecology, and distribution of scale bearing amoeba, ciliates, flagellates and heliozoa from the Carolinas. *Journal of the North Carolina Academy of*

Science, 121(1), 1–16.

- Wujek, D.E. & Saha, L.C. (2006) Freshwater scaled heterotrophic flagellates and heliozoa from India including a description of a new species of *Choanocystis. Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society*, 103(1), 71–81.
- Wujek, D.E., Dziedzic, R.M., Kadiri, M.O., Adesalu, T.A. & Nwankwo, D.I. (2007) Identification, ecology, and distribution of heliozoa, scaled flagellates and scaled ciliates from Western Nigeria. *Tropical Freshwater Biology*, 16(1), 1– 15.
- Zlatogursky, V.V. (2014) Raznoobrazie i evolutsiya pokrovnykh struktur u tsentrokhelidnykh solnechnikov (Protista: Centrohelida) (Diversity and Evolution Cover Structure of Centrohelid Heliozoans (Protista: Centrohelida)). PhD dissertation in Biological Sciences, Saint Petersburg State University, Saint Petersburg, 138 pp. (in Russian).

Submitted 17 May 2019, revision 25 Oct 2019 Editor: K.H. Roucoux

Author for correspondence:

Kristina I. Prokina, Papanin Institute for Biology of Inland Waters, Russian Academy of Sciences, 152742 Russia, Yaroslavl Region, Nekouz District, Borok, 109.

Tel: (48547)24533; Fax: (48547)24042; E-mail: kristin892@mail.ru